UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,845	12/29/2003	Jeremey Barrett	59864.00876	2762
	7590 03/16/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			BHATIA, AJAY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2145	
			<del>-</del>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/16/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/748,845	BARRETT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 F</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This      3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Is have been received in Application of the control of th	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/1/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/748,845

Art Unit: 2145

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/1/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant appears to argue encapsulation is not the same at tunneling. Examiner it appears applicant may not fully understand the application of the prior art. The prior art discusses L2TP (Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol) encapsulation using L2TP is which is a tunnel protocol. (Spacey, paragraph 16). Therefore the rejection is maintained. Please review RFC 2661, "L2TP" if applicant would like further clarification of L2TP.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spacey (U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0038371). For clarification of what HTTPS is please referrer to additional references cited.

For claim 1, Spacey teaches, a network device for managing a communication over a network, comprising:

a transceiver configured to send and to receive the communication over the network; (Spacey, paragraph 16, VPN, L2TP)

a processor, coupled to the transceiver, that is configured to:

receive a proxy request from a client through a secure tunnel; (Spacey, paragraph 16, VPN, L2TP)

modify the proxy request to include a security attribute, inherent from the secure tunnel; (Spacey, paragraphs 122-123, SSL)

and forward the modified proxy request to a proxy service, wherein the security attribute enables a proxy connection through the secure tunnel. (Spacey, paragraph 123, SSL send)

For claim 2, Spacey teaches, the network device of claim 1, wherein modifying the proxy request further comprises including a security header with the proxy request. (Spacey, paragraph 73, 123, SSL)

For claim 3, Spacey teaches, the network device of claim 1, wherein the security attribute further comprises at least one of an internet protocol (IP) address associated with the client, a security property associated with the secure tunnel, a public key certificate, a security credential associated with the client, access control data configured to enable the client access to a content server, a session identifier, and an identifier associated with the secure tunnel. (Spacey, paragraph 114, 117, key)

For claim 4, Spacey teaches, the network device of claim 1, wherein the proxy request is an hypertext transport protocol (HTTP) proxy request. (Spacey, paragraph 95, HTTP)

Application/Control Number: 10/748,845

Art Unit: 2145

For claim 5, Spacey teaches, the network device of claim 1, wherein the secure tunnel further comprises at least one of a secure sockets layer (SSL) tunnel, a transport layer security (TLS) tunnel, hyper text transport protocol (HTTP) Secure (HTTPS), Tunneling TLS (TTLS), and an extensible authentication protocol (EAP) secure tunnel. (Spacey, paragraph 123, SSL)

For claim 6, Spacey teaches, the network device of claim 1, further comprising receiving an hyper text transport protocol secure (HTTPS) communication to enable the secure tunnel. (Spacey, paragraph 123, SSL)

Claims 7-28 list all the same elements of claims 1-6, addressing the same invention. Therefore, the supporting rationale of the rejection to claims 1-6 applies equally as well to claims 7-28.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached Notice of references cited (if appropriate).

Please note that if applicant request an interview applicant is required to contact examiner for the interview. Prior to requesting a time a detailed interview agenda discussing which specific claim limitation that are to be discussed and where in the specification support for the claim limitation can be found is required. This agenda should be faxed directly to examiner, which will be added to the record of the present application. Once examiner received the agenda for the interview the examiner will contact the applicant and schedule an interview. Prior to receiving an agenda an interview will not be scheduled. The examiner fax number is 571-273-3906.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2145

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajay M. Bhatia whose telephone number is (571)-272-3906. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,845

Art Unit: 2145

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason Cardone

Supervisor Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2145